1	FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA (41059) CRAIG C. CORBITT (83251) CHRISTOPHER T. MICHELETTI (136446)				
2					
3	JANE YI (257893) ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 693-0700 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770 fscarpulla@zelle.com ccorbitt@zelle.com				
4					
5					
6					
7	Lead and Liaison Counsel for				
8	Indirect Purchaser Class				
9	TIN YEAR OF A COLUMN				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	_	AND DIVISION			
	IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS MEMORY (SRAM) ANTITRUST	Case No. M:07-CV-01819-CW			
13	LITIGATION	MDL No. 1819			
14		AMENDED STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING REVISED CASE			
15	This Document Relates to:	MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE			
16	ALL ACTIONS				
17					
18					
19	WHEREAS, on November 25, 2009, the Court issued an Order Granting Indirect Purchaser				
20	Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and Denying Motions to Exclude Expert Opinions (Dkt.				
21	903) ("Order Granting Certification"), wherein the Court certified a nationwide injunctive class of				
22	indirect purchasers of SRAM pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(2), as well				
23	as twenty-seven (27) state classes of indirect purchasers of SRAM pursuant to Federal Rules of Civi				
24	Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3), and denied Plaintiffs' and Defendants' <i>Daubert</i> motions to exclude				
25	experts' opinions;				
26	WHEREAS, on December 9, 2009, D	Defendants NEC, Samsung and Cypress filed a petition,			
27	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 2	23(f), in the United States Court of Appeals for the			
28	Ninth Circuit for permission to appeal the Court's Order Granting Certification (the "Petition");				
	AMENDED STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING REVISED CASE MANAGEMENT				

SCHEDULE

WHEREAS, per a stipulation between the Indirect Purchaser ("IP") Plaintiffs and Defendants, the Court entered a Stipulation And Order To Extend Time For Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs To Submit A Proposed Form Of Class Notice And Their Experts' Merits Reports Pending The Decision Of The Ninth Circuit Court Of Appeals On Defendants' Rule 23(f) Petition (Dkt. 907);

WHEREAS, on February 10, 2010, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals denied Defendants Rule 23(f) Petition;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Stipulation Extending Time, the parties in all actions have met and conferred regarding the remaining dates in the Case Management Schedule and hereby submit to the Court a case schedule seeking to align the schedules in both the IP Purchaser actions and the Direct Purchaser actions and to accomplish just, speedy and inexpensive determination of these actions.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated by the undersigned counsel on behalf of the parties identified below, and subject to the Court's approval, that:

The case management schedule is amended as outlined in Exhibit A, attached hereto, which changes the case management schedule as follows:

- (1) The last day for IP Plaintiffs to serve expert reports on the merits is March 19, 2010;
- (2) The last day for Defendants to serve expert reports on the merits is May 4, 2010;
- (3) The last day for Plaintiffs to serve expert reply reports on the merits is June 17, 2010;
- (4) Expert discovery will close on July 8, 2010;
- (5) The last day to file dispositive motions is July 15, 2010;
- The last day to file oppositions to dispositive motions is August 24, 2010; and, (6)
- (7) The last day to file replies to dispositive motions is September 15, 2010.
- (8) The hearing date on dispositive motions is October 14, 2010.
- (9) The pre-trial conference is December 14, 2010.
- (10)The trial date is January 31, 2011.

27

	Dated: March 8, 2010	By:	/s/ Christopher T. Micheletti
1	2	<i>-</i>	CHRISTOPHER T. MICHELETTI
2			ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP
3			Lead and Liaison Counsel for Indirect-
4			Purchaser Class
5	Dated: March 8, 2010	Ву:	/s/ Steven N. Williams
3			STEVEN N. WILLIAMS
6			COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY Lead And Liaison Counsel For Direct-
7			Purchaser Class
8			
9	Dated: March 8, 2010	By:	/s/ Paul Griffin
0			PAUL GRIFFIN WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
1			Attorney for Defendants
			NEC Electronics Corporation and NEC
2			Electronics America, Inc. and
3			Liaison Counsel for Defendants
1			
5	Additional Defendants and Counsel:		
6	By /s/ Belinda Lee		By /s/ Matthew S. Leddicotte
	BELINDA LEE		MATTHEW S. LEDDICOTTE (pro hac vic
7	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		WHITE & CASE LLP
8	Attorney for Defendants  Taskiba Corporation and Taskiba		Attorney for Defendants  Etrop Technology Corporation and Etrop
9	Toshiba Corporation and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.		Etron Technology Corporation and Etron Technology America, Inc.
	-		
0	By /s/Lee H. Rubin		By /s/Michael F. Tubach
1	LEE H. RUBIN MAYER BROWN LLP		MICHAEL F. TUBACH O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
2	Attorney for Defendant		Attorney for Defendants
ے	Cypress Semiconductor Corporation		Hynix Semiconductor Inc., and
3			Hynix Semiconductor America Inc.
4			
5	By /s/ Craig P. Seebald		By /s/ James L. McGinnis
ر 	CRAIG P. SEEBALD (pro hac vice) McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP	•	JAMES L. MCGINNIS SHEPPARD MILLIN DICHTER &
6	Attorney for Defendants		SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
7	Renesas Technology Corp., Renesas		Attorney for Defendants
	Technology America, Inc., Mitsubishi Ele	ctric	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,
8	Corporation, and Mitsubishi Electric &		Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd., and
	1		3

SCHEDULE

### Case 4:07-md-01819-CW Document 976 Filed 03/22/10 Page 4 of 5

1	Electronics USA, Inc.	Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.	
2	By /s/ Joshua D. N. Hess		
3	JOSHUA D. N. HESS	-	
4	GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP		
5	Attorney for Defendants Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc.		
6	Semeonaucior i roducis, inc.		
7	I, Christopher T. Micheletti, hereby	attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, that	
8	the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.		
9		/s/ Christopher T. Micheletti	
10		Christopher T. Micheletti	
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13		Chidealeit	
14	Dated: March 22, 2010	THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN	
15		United States District Judge Northern District of California	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		$\Delta$	

### 

### 

# 

## 

## 

## 

# 

## 

## 

### 

### 

### 

# 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

## 

# 

#### 

#### **EXHIBIT A**

#### **Case Management Schedule**

Event	Direct Purchaser Case Schedule as per 8/18/09 Scheduling Order	Direct and Indirect Purchaser Case - Stipulated and Agreed Date
Last date to serve expert reports on merits	1/25/10	3/19/10 (Indirect Only)
Last date for Defendants to serve expert reports on merits	3/26/10	5/4/10
Last date for Plaintiffs to serve expert reply reports on merits	4/26/10	6/17/10
Close of expert discovery	5/28/10	7/8/10
Last date to file dispositive motions.	6/10/10	7/15/10
(Parties to file joint motions to the extent possible.)		
Last date to file oppositions to dispositive motions.	7/22/10	8/24/10
Last date to file replies to dispositive motions.	8/12/10	9/15/10
Hearing on dispositive motions and Further Case Management Conference	9/9/10 at 2:00 p.m.	10/14/10 at 2:00 p.m.
Pre-trial Conference	11/30/10 at 2:00 p.m.	12/14/10 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial Date	1/11/11 at 8:30 a.m.	1/31/11 at 8:30 a.m.
	(2 months)	(2 months)

3220353.v4